metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Xiao-Ping Zhou,^a Dan Li^a* and Seik Weng Ng^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Shantou University, Shantou, Guangdong 515063, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: dli@stu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.008 Å R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.107 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Di- μ -iodo-bis[(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)copper(I)] acetonitrile solvate

In the title complex, $[Cu_2I_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2]\cdot C_2H_3N$, two Cu^I atoms are bridged by two I atoms and each Cu^I atom is chelated by a 1,10-phenanthroline ligand to give four-coordinate tetrahedral geometry.

Received 19 November 2004 Accepted 1 March 2005 Online 11 March 2005

Comment

The study of copper(I) complexes with the 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) ligand has attracted considerable attention (Healy *et al.*, 1985; Yu *et al.*, 2001). In our study of the preparation of copper(I) complexes, a binuclear compound, (I), was obtained and structurally characterized.



The crystallographic analysis reveals that (I) is a binuclear complex, in which each Cu^I atom is in an N₂I₂ four-coordinate environment with distorted tetrahedral geometry (Fig. 1). The structure is very similar to that of $[Cu_2(\mu-I)_2(phen)_2]$ ·MeOH (Healy *et al.*, 1985). The Cu–I, Cu–N and Cu···Cu distances in both complexes are similar. In (I), the dihedral angle between the two Cu₂I planes is 16.74 (2)° and the two phen ligands are almost parallel, with a dihedral angle of 1.80 (17)°. Interestingly, the $[Cu_2(\mu-I)_2(phen)_2]$ molecules form a simple



Figure 1

ORTEPII plot (Johnson, 1976) of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved one-dimensional chain *via* face-to-face π - π interactions between adjacent phen ligands. The distance between the parallel phen planes is 3.46 (4) Å.

Experimental

A mixture of CuI (0.019 g, 0.1 mmol) and 1,10-phenanthroline (0.018 g, 0.1 mmol) in CH₃CN (8 ml) in a 15 ml stainless steel reactor with a Teflon liner was heated to 453 K for 24 h. The reactor was cooled to room temperature at a rate of 10 K h⁻¹. Red crystals of the title complex were collected in 57% yield. Elemental analysis calculated for C₂₆H₁₉Cu₂I₂N₅: C 39.99, H 2.42, N 8.97%; found: C 39.9 5, H 2.44, N 8.96%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3054 (*w*), 2243 (*w*), 1957 (*w*), 1617 (*m*), 1581 (*m*), 1507 (*m*), 1421 (*s*), 1216 (*w*), 1152 (*w*), 1088 (*w*), 1053 (*w*), 988 (*w*), 837 (*s*), 713 (*m*), 735 (*s*), 627 (*m*), 417 (*w*).

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{C}_{12}\mathrm{H}_{8}\mathrm{N}_{2})_{2}]\cdot\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{3}\mathrm{N} \\ & M_{r}=782.36 \\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, \ P2_{1}/n \\ & a=10.4920 \ (6) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & b=23.6166 \ (13) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & c=10.6391 \ (6) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & \beta=91.436 \ (1)^{\circ} \\ & V=2635.4 \ (3) \ \mathrm{\AA}^{3} \\ & Z=4 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX areadetector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2002) $T_{min} = 0.409, T_{max} = 0.550$ 16 362 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$ $wR(F^2) = 0.107$ S = 1.035991 reflections 317 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $D_x = 1.972 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 4519 reflections $\theta = 2.6-26.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.98 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 (2) K Prism, red $0.25 \times 0.16 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

5991 independent reflections				
4466 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$				
$R_{\rm int} = 0.029$				
$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$				
$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$				
$k = -30 \rightarrow 30$				
$l = -10 \rightarrow 13$				

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0544P)^2]$
+ 0.5635P]
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.06 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

1-Cu1	2.5909 (6)	Cu1-N1	2.078 (3)
1-Cu2	2.6297 (7)	Cu1-N2	2.085 (3)
2-Cu1	2.5876 (7)	Cu2-N3	2.099 (4)
2-Cu2	2.5953 (7)	Cu2-N4	2.080 (4)
1 - Cu1 - I2	118.75 (2)	I2-Cu1-N2	108.9 (1)
1 - Cu1 - N1	117.9 (1)	N1-Cu1-N2	80.6 (1)
1 - Cu1 - N2	112.0 (1)	Cu1-I1-Cu2	59.78 (2)
2 - Cu1 - N1	112.1 (1)	Cu1-I2-Cu2	60.26 (2)

The phenanthroline and acetonitrile H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.96 Å and treated as riding atoms with isotropic displacement parameters of $1.2U_{eq}$ (phen) or $1.5U_{eq}$ (Me) of the parent C atom. The largest peak and deepest hole in the final difference Fourier map were 0.935 and 0.745 Å from atom I2, respectively.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 20271031 and 29901004), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (grant No. 021240) and the University of Malaya for supporting this study.

References

Bruker (2002). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Healy, P. C., Pakawatchai, C. & White, A. H. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2531–2539.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2002). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yu, J. H., Shi, Z., Xu, J. Q., Chu, D. Q., Jin, W. J., Ding, H., Hua, J., Xu, J. N., Cui, X. B., Wang, T. G., Zhang, L. J., Li, C. B. & Zeng, Q. X. (2001). Pol. J. Chem. 75, 1785–1789.