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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=295 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.008 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.041$
$w R$ factor $=0.107$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=18.9$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## Di- $\mu$-iodo-bis[(1,10-phenanthroline- $\left.\kappa^{2} N, N^{\prime}\right)$ $\operatorname{copper}(\mathrm{I})$ ] acetonitrile solvate

In the title complex, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, two $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ atoms are bridged by two I atoms and each $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ atom is chelated by a 1,10-phenanthroline ligand to give four-coordinate tetrahedral geometry.

## Comment

The study of copper(I) complexes with the 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) ligand has attracted considerable attention (Healy et al., 1985; Yu et al., 2001). In our study of the preparation of copper(I) complexes, a binuclear compound, (I), was obtained and structurally characterized.

(I)

The crystallographic analysis reveals that (I) is a binuclear complex, in which each $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ atom is in an $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ four-coordinate environment with distorted tetrahedral geometry (Fig. 1). The structure is very similar to that of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{I})_{2}(\text { phen })_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}$ (Healy et al., 1985). The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}$ distances in both complexes are similar. In (I), the dihedral angle between the two $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ planes is 16.74 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ and the two phen ligands are almost parallel, with a dihedral angle of $1.80(17)^{\circ}$. Interestingly, the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{I})_{2}(\text { phen })_{2}\right]$ molecules form a simple


Figure 1
ORTEPII plot (Johnson, 1976) of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.
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one-dimensional chain via face-to-face $\pi-\pi$ interactions between adjacent phen ligands. The distance between the parallel phen planes is 3.46 (4) $\AA$.

## Experimental

A mixture of $\mathrm{CuI}(0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,10 -phenanthroline ( $0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(8 \mathrm{ml})$ in a 15 ml stainless steel reactor with a Teflon liner was heated to 453 K for 24 h . The reactor was cooled to room temperature at a rate of $10 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. Red crystals of the title complex were collected in $57 \%$ yield. Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ : C 39.99, H 2.42, N $8.97 \%$; found: C 39.9 5, H 2.44, N 8.96\%. IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3054 (w), 2243 (w), 1957 (w), 1617 (m), 1581 (m), 1507 ( $m$ ), 1421 ( $s$ ), 1216 (w), 1152 (w), 1088 (w), 1053 (w), $988(w), 837(s), 713(m), 735(s), 627(m), 417(w)$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$M_{r}=782.36$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=10.4920$ (6) $\AA$
$b=23.6166$ (13) $\AA$
$c=10.6391$ (6) $\AA$
$\beta=91.436$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=2635.4(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.972 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

Cell parameters from 4519
reflections
$\theta=2.6-26.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=3.98 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295$ (2) K
Prism, red
$0.25 \times 0.16 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX areadetector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2002)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.409, T_{\text {max }}=0.550$
16362 measured reflections
5991 independent reflections 4466 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.029$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$k=-30 \rightarrow 30$
$l=-10 \rightarrow 13$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.041$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.107$
$S=1.03$
5991 reflections
317 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | $2.5909(6)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.078(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | $2.6297(7)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.085(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | $2.5876(7)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $2.099(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | $2.5953(7)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $2.080(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{I} 2$ | $118.75(2)$ | $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $108.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $117.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $80.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $112.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | $59.78(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $112.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | $60.26(2)$ |

The phenanthroline and acetonitrile H atoms were placed in calculated positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances in the range $0.93-0.96 \AA$ and treated as riding atoms with isotropic displacement parameters of $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}($ phen $)$ or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{Me})$ of the parent C atom. The largest peak and deepest hole in the final difference Fourier map were 0.935 and $0.745 \AA$ from atom I2, respectively.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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